

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,207	11/01/2001	Jose Fernandez	6021.026-CONT.	6367
32361	7590 09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 885 3RD AVENUE			PRIDDY, MICHAEL B	
	NY 10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3732	

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/004,207	FERNANDEZ ET	FERNANDEZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Michael B Priddy	3732	V			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of t vill apply and will expire SIX (6) Micause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ly. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	ay 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4-12,15-23,25,32,36-40,44-49 and 52-63</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) <u>45-49 and 52-63</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 2,4-7,9,18-20,25,32,36-40 and 44 is/a						
7) Claim(s) <u>8,10-12,15-17 and 21-23</u> is/are object						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer. Note the attach	ied Office Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have be	en received in this Nationa	l Stage			
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies n	ot received.	•			
Attachment(s)			•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	a , a ,	lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT 	⁻ O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/004,207

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 2, 4, 5, 36 and 37 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 24 and 25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,319,286 in view of Luman (U.S. 5,002,578). Claims 1, 2, 24 and 25 of Patent 6,319,286 recite all of the limitations of the present invention except wherein said distal segment includes a bone engaging outer surface portion comprising longitudinal flutes and a coronal slot formed along an incremental length thereof.

Luman teaches a modular hip stem prosthesis including a stem 12 having a cylindrical shaft 18 with longitudinal flutes 22 and a coronal slot 24. The longitudinal flutes 22 secure the distal stem 18 "against rotation" (lines 11-14 of column 8) while the coronal slot 24 "provides a limited degree of resilience to the distal end of shaft 18 so that translational forces imposed thereon are more uniformly distributed to adjacent

Art Unit: 3732

bone." (lines 27-30 of column 5) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to form longitudinal flutes and a coronal slot on the distal segment of the modular hip prosthesis of claims 1, 2, 24 and 24 of '286 since these features would secure against rotation of the distal segment and uniformly distribute forces from the distal segment to adjacent bone.

Claims 6, 19, 38 and 40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 24 and 25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,319,286 in view of Lopez et al. (U.S. 5,972,032). Claims 1, 2, 24 and 25 of Patent 6,319,286 recite all of the limitations of the present invention except said distal segment includes a bone engaging outer surface portion selected from the group consisting of a grit blasted surface, sintered metal bead coating, hydroxylapatite coating, plasma spray coating, bio-glass ceramic coating, demineralized bone and carrier, and growth factor and carrier.

Lopez et al. teaches an acetabular shell for an implantable hip prosthesis and discloses as techniques commonly used in the art to promote bone ingrowth or ongrowth grit-plasting and plasma spraying. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to increase the surface area of the outer surface of the distal segment of the modular hip prosthesis of claims 1, 2, 24 and 25 of '286 to promote bone ingrowth or ongrowth.

Claims 2, 9, 18, 20, 25, 39 and 44 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 24 and 25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,319,286 in view of Amstutz et al. (U.S. 5,156,627).

Application/Control Number: 10/004,207

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 1, 2, 24 and 25 of Patent 6,319,286 recite all of the limitations of the present invention except distal, metaphyseal and proximal segments are constructed from a material selected from the group consisting of titanium metal alloy, cobalt chromium alloy, and stainless steel.

Amstutz et al. teaches a femoral hip prosthesis which is preferably formed of titanium or a titanium alloy for compatibility and affinity with human bone growth. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to form the distal, metaphyseal and proximal segments of claims 1, 2, 24 and 25 of '286 of titanium or titanium alloy so that it would be biologically inert with, compatible with and have an affinity with the patient's bone.

Claims 2, 7 and 32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 24 and 25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,319,286 in view of the following. Claims 1, 2, 24 and 25 recite all of the limitations of the present invention except the transverse cross section of the distal segment being circular. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the distal segment of the modular hip stem of claims 1, 2, 24 and 25 of '286 with a curcular transverse cross section, since Applicant has not disclosed that such solves any stated problem or is anything more than one of numerous shapes or configurations a person ordinary skill in the art would find obvious for the purpose of providing distal segment for a modular hip prosthesis. In re Dailey and Eilers, 149 USPQ 47 (1966).

Art Unit: 3732

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8, 10-12, 15-17 and 21-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 45-49 and 52-63 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Priddy whose telephone number is (703) 308-8620. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8 a.m. - 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,207

Page 6

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael B. Priddy

September 8, 2004